

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,603	09/26/2003	Isao Osako	243307US3	9903	
22850	7590 09/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			STULII, VERA		
1940 DUKE S		IAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	KANDRIA, VA 22314		1761		
•			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ 0				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/670,603	OSAKO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Vera Stulii	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9/26/2003.	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo							
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	n received in this National Stage	9				
application from the International Bur	, , , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	• •					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/670,603

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a method for manufacturing frozen or refrigerated half-boiled noodles, classified in class 426, subclass 393.
- II. Claim 7, drawn to an apparatus for manufacturing frozen or 99 485 refrigerated half-boiled noodles, classified in class 426, subclass 393.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of group I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case described apparatus can be used to practice a method for producing ready-to-be-consumed room temperature stable ground, cooked, and seasoned beef vacuum packed in a plastic tray or a method for producing confectionery compositions containing fiber.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

Application/Control Number: 10/670,603

Art Unit: 1761

recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/670,603

Art Unit: 1761

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Stulii whose telephone number is (571) 272-3221. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am-3:30 pm, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VS

MILTON I. CAND SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700